

Unnecessary language

MAY I through your column reply to Mr. Muse's letter regarding the Sex Pistols. He states in his letter that we want to hear the Sex Pistols, surely what he does mean is "I want to hear the Sex Pistols."

In which case he can cordially invite them to his own home on Busty Bank.

As far as his statement that foul and filthy language is permitted in any bar or workingmen's club it is totally untrue, as respectable managers and club stewards do not condone this.

May I respectfully ask Mr. Muse if he himself used this type of language in his local pub, namely Burton House, Busty Bank, during which time my cousin and her husband, Peggy and Albert Brownell were the licensees?

My answer is no or he would have been out on his

neck. Foul language is only an ignorant way of expressing one's self and totally unnecessary in public. — W. C. NEIL, Newcastle.

Children need protection

IN reply to the letter from Mr. Andrew Muse in the Evening Chronicle, dated December 8, re Sex Pistols, the decision to ban this group was taken by experienced full-time officials and myself. The reason being that the bookings had been in the main made by youngsters in the 10-13 years age group. Had it been an adult audience maybe a different decision could have been taken.

These children needed protection from this type of

show. After having made this decision we have been fully supported by the national Press, Sir John Read and other cities who have refused to allow this show to go ahead. Their reaction to myself—published Journal, Saturday, December 4, their treatment of the Derby councillors the obscenities they allegedly wrote on the walls of the toilets and dressing rooms in the North London theatre surely prove that the action taken by this city was correct.

I would suggest to the writer of the letter if he wishes to see the show that he book a place in Burnopfield perhaps in a telephone kiosk, as his is the only letter of protest.—ODUN, A. F. STANLEY, chairman, Arts and Recreation Committee.